(d) if the answer to part (c) above be in the negative, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM (SHRI SATYA PAL MALIK); (a) to (d) Yes, Sir. The representations received from the FOrum contained allegations of favouritism and corruption in the matter of promotion. These representations have been examined by the ITDC management "but the allegations bave not been proved correct. As the promotions have been made in accordance with the Recruitment, Promotion, and Seniority Rules of the Corporation, the question of holding enquiry does not arise.

Complaints against ITDC chief

952. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the Minister of TOURISM; be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the 'Officers Welfare Forum' ITDC wrote to the Minister of Tourism in January, 1990, regarding colossal wasteful expenditure being incurred by the ITDC Chief;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what action Government have taken thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF TOURISM (SHRI SATYA PAL MALIK): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The letter mainly contained allegations of wasteful expenditure on the conversion of guest rooms m Ashok/Samrat hotels for residences of Executives/ Chief Executive thereby causing loss ef revenue to the hotel unit.

(c) Some rooms, which are unlettable to guests due to V.V.I.P. Security have been converted into residential apartments in Samrat Hotel. These apartments have been allotted to Chief Executive/Sr. Hotel Executives as per operational requirements and general practice in the Hotel industry.

Recruitment of sportsmen in the office of Director of Audit, Eastern Railway, Calcutta

953. SHRI SAMAR {MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of FINANCE "be pleased to state:

(a) whether an advertisement was published/telecast in All India papers/TV; in May, 1989 for recruitment of sportsmen in the office of Director of Audit, Eastern Railway, Calcutta against sports quota;

(b) whether call letters were issued to candidates in November 1989 for trials conducted from 20th November, 1989 to 22nd November, 1989;

(c) whether any candidate was selected as Champion in the trials;

(d) whether it is a fact that a corrigendum was published in the press subsequently for correction of alleged mistake in the original advertisement;

(e) whether the Management found defects with the main advertisement after the official trail was over;

(f) whether it is also a fact that call letters were issued to some candidates on 20th November,-1989 in violation of all norms;

(g) if the reply to parts (a) to (f) be in the affirmative whether action has been taken against the official concerned for this irregularity after investigation; if so, what are the details thereof; and

(h) whether genuinely selected candidate would be given appointment?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ANIL SHASTRI): (a) to (b) An ad-